

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/625,170		HENZE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang		3729	

All Participants:

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(2) Eileen Lehmann, for Applicant(s).

Date of Interview: 1 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
24

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted to applicant(s) that Claim 24 was a duplicate of Claim 1 as filed in the amendment of November 21, 2005. The applicant(s) have agreed to cancel Claim 24 in the attached examiner's amendment, which places the application in condition for allowance..